

## MAZURKIEWICZ MANIFOLDS AND HOMOGENEITY

P. KRUPSKI AND V. VALOV

ABSTRACT. It is proved that no region of a homogeneous locally compact, locally connected metric space can be cut by an  $F_\sigma$ -subset of a “smaller” dimension. The result applies to different finite or infinite topological dimensions of metrizable spaces.

The classical Hurewicz-Menger-Tumarkin theorem in dimension theory says that connected topological  $n$ -manifolds (with or without boundary) are Cantor manifolds (i. e., no subset of covering dimension  $\leq n-2$  separates the space). The theorem was almost immediately strengthened by Mazurkiewicz who proved that regions (i. e., open connected subsets) in Euclidean spaces (and, in fact, in topological manifolds) cannot be cut by subsets of codimension at least two (a subset *cuts* if its complement is not continuum-wise connected [2]). The Hurewicz-Menger-Tumarkin theorem has many generalizations. In particular, it is known that regions of homogeneous locally compact metric spaces are Cantor manifolds (including their infinite-dimensional versions) [5, 6]. It was proved in [3] that no weakly infinite-dimensional subset cuts the product of a countable number of nondegenerate metric continua.

In this paper, we obtain a generalization in spirit of the Mazurkiewicz theorem: regions in homogeneous locally compact, locally connected metric spaces cannot be cut by  $F_\sigma$ -subsets of codimension at least two. Moreover, our result holds true for a very general dimension function  $D_K$  considered in [4] which captures the covering dimension, cohomological dimension  $\dim_G$  with respect to any Abelian group  $G$  as well as the extraordinary dimension  $\dim_L$  with respect to a given  $CW$ -complex  $L$ , and has its counterparts in infinite dimensions including  $C$ -spaces and weakly infinite-dimensional spaces.

Basic facts on Cantor manifolds and their stronger variations with respect to dimension  $D_K$  or to the above-mentioned infinite dimensions

---

*Date:* January 9, 2009.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54F45; Secondary 55M10.

*Key words and phrases.* Cantor manifold, Mazurkiewicz manifold, cohomological dimension,  $C$ -space, strongly infinite-dimensional, covering dimension, homogeneous space.

The second author was partially supported by NSERC Grant 261914-08.

has been presented in [4]. We recall some necessary terminology and results from that paper. We restrict our considerations to metrizable spaces.

A sequence  $\mathcal{K} = \{K_0, K_1, \dots\}$  of *CW*-complexes is called a *stratum* for a dimension theory [1] if

- for each space  $X$  admitting a perfect map onto a metrizable space,  $K_n \in AE(X)$  implies both  $K_{n+1} \in AE(X \times \mathbb{I})$  and  $K_{n+j} \in AE(X)$  for all  $j \geq 0$ .

Here,  $K_n \in AE(X)$  means that  $K_n$  is an absolute extensor for  $X$ . Given a stratum  $\mathcal{K}$ , the dimension function  $D_{\mathcal{K}}$  for a metrizable space  $X$  is defined as follows:

- (1)  $D_{\mathcal{K}}(X) = -1$  iff  $X = \emptyset$ ;
- (2)  $D_{\mathcal{K}}(X) \leq n$  if  $K_n \in AE(X)$  for  $n \geq 0$ ; if  $D_{\mathcal{K}}(X) \leq n$  and  $K_m \notin AE(X)$  for all  $m < n$ , then  $D_{\mathcal{K}}(X) = n$ ;
- (3)  $D_{\mathcal{K}}(X) = \infty$  if  $D_{\mathcal{K}}(X) \leq n$  is not satisfied for any  $n$ .

According to the countable sum theorem in extension theory, it follows directly from the above definition that  $D_{\mathcal{K}}(X) \leq n$  implies  $D_{\mathcal{K}}(A) \leq n$  for any  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset  $A \subset X$ .

Henceforth,  $\mathcal{C}$  will denote one of the four classes of metrizable spaces:

- (1) the class  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}^k$  of at most  $k$ -dimensional spaces with respect to dimension  $D_{\mathcal{K}}$ ,
  - (2) the class  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}^{<\infty}$  of strongly countable  $D_{\mathcal{K}}$ -dimensional spaces, i.e. all spaces represented as a countable union of closed finite-dimensional subsets with respect to  $D_{\mathcal{K}}$ ,
  - (3) the class  $\mathbf{C}$  of  $C$ -spaces,
- and
- (4) the class  $\mathcal{WID}$  of weakly infinite-dimensional spaces.

(for definitions of a weakly (strongly) infinite-dimensional or of a  $C$ -space, see [2]).

A metrizable space  $X$  is a *Cantor manifold with respect to a class  $\mathcal{C}$*  if  $X$  cannot be separated by a closed subset which belongs to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

$X$  is a *Mazurkiewicz manifold with respect to  $\mathcal{C}$*  if for every two closed, disjoint subsets  $X_0, X_1 \subset X$ , both having non-empty interiors in  $X$ , and every  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset  $F \subset X$  with  $F \in \mathcal{C}$ , there exists a continuum in  $X \setminus F$  joining  $X_0$  and  $X_1$ .

Obviously, Mazurkiewicz manifolds with respect to  $\mathcal{C}$  are Cantor manifolds with respect to  $\mathcal{C}$ . It was observed in [4] that if no  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset from a class  $\mathcal{C}$  cuts a compact space  $X$ , then  $X$  is a Mazurkiewicz

manifold with respect to  $\mathcal{C}$ ; the converse implication holds for locally connected compact spaces  $X$ .

We are going to use the following theorem from [4].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $Z$  be a metric compact space and  $Z \notin \mathcal{C}$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is any of the following four classes:  $\mathcal{WID}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}^{n-2}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\leq \infty}$ . In the case  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}^{n-2}$  we additionally assume  $D_{\mathcal{K}}(Z) = n$  and in the case  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}^{\leq \infty}$  assume that  $Z$  does not contain closed subsets of arbitrary large finite dimension  $D_{\mathcal{K}}$ . Then  $Z$  contains a Mazurkiewicz manifold with respect to  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

We also need the following version of the Effros' theorem (see [6, Proposition 1.4]).

**Theorem 2.** *If  $X$  is a homogeneous locally compact metric space (with metric  $\rho$ ), then for every  $a \in X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\rho(x, a) < \delta$ , then there is an  $\epsilon$ -homeomorphism  $h : X \rightarrow X$  (i. e.,  $\rho(h(y), y) < \epsilon$  for each  $y$ ) such that  $h(a) = x$ .*

The following Lemma is a slight generalization of [7, Theorem 8, p. 243].

**Lemma 3.** *If  $X$  is a locally compact, locally connected metric space and the union  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$  cuts a region  $U$  of  $X$ , where  $F_i$  is a closed subset of  $U$  for each  $i$ , then there is  $i$  such that  $F_i$  cuts a region  $V \subset U$ .*

*Proof.* Choose two distinct points  $a, b \in U$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$  cuts  $U$  between them. Suppose no set  $F_i$  cuts any subregion of  $U$ . So, there is a subregion  $U_1 \subset U \setminus F_1$  containing  $a$  and  $b$ . Since  $U_1$  is completely metrizable, it is arcwise connected (by the Mazurkiewicz-Moore-Menger theorem [7]), hence there is an arc  $\alpha_1 \subset U_1$  from  $a$  to  $b$ . The local compactness and local connectedness allows us to get a region  $U'_1$  such that  $\alpha_1 \subset U'_1 \subset \text{cl}(U'_1) \subset U_1$  and  $\text{cl}(U'_1)$  is compact. Similarly, we find an arc  $\alpha_2$  from  $a$  to  $b$  and regions  $U_2 \subset U'_1 \setminus F_2$  and  $U'_2$  such that  $\alpha_2 \subset U'_2 \subset \text{cl}(U'_2) \subset U_2$  and  $\text{cl}(U'_2)$  is compact. Continuing this way, we get a decreasing sequence of continua  $\text{cl}(U'_n)$  whose intersection is a continuum in  $U \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i$  containing  $a$  and  $b$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

We can now prove our main result.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $X$  be a homogeneous locally compact, locally connected metric space. Suppose  $U$  is a region in  $X$  and  $U \notin \mathcal{C}$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is one of the above four classes. In case  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}^{n-2}$  assume  $D_{\mathcal{K}}(U) = n$ . Then  $U$  is a Mazurkiewicz manifold with respect to  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Notice that  $U$  is second countable. It follows (by the countable sum theorem for spaces in class  $\mathcal{C}$ ) that  $U$  contains compact sets of arbitrary small diameters which do not belong to  $\mathcal{C}$ . Suppose  $U$  is not a Mazurkiewicz manifold with respect to  $\mathcal{C}$  and let an  $F_\sigma$ -subset  $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty F_i$  of  $U$  cut  $U$  with each  $F_i \in \mathcal{C}$  being closed in  $U$ . It follows by Lemma 3 that there is  $j$  such that  $F_j$  cuts a region  $V \subset U$ . Thus,  $F_j$  also separates  $V$  since  $V$  is locally connected [7, Theorem 1, p. 238]. Without loss of generality one can assume that  $F_j$  is nowhere dense. Let  $V \setminus F_j = V_1 \cup V_2$ , where  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are nonempty, open and disjoint. Fix a point  $a \in F_j \cap V$ .

Assume first that in the case  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{K}^{<\infty}$  the region  $U$  does not contain closed subsets of arbitrary large finite dimension  $D_\mathcal{K}$ . Then, by Theorem 1, there are arbitrary small compact Mazurkiewicz manifolds with respect to  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $U$ . By the homogeneity, there is a compact Mazurkiewicz manifold (with respect to  $\mathcal{C}$ )  $M \subset V$  containing  $a$ .  $M$  being a Cantor manifold with respect to  $\mathcal{C}$ , it is not in  $\mathcal{C}$ , so  $M$  is not contained in  $F_j$ . Suppose  $M$  intersects  $V_1$ . Then the Effros' Theorem 2 allows us to push  $M$  toward  $V_2$  by a small homeomorphism so that it meet both sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . This means that the displaced  $M$  is separated by  $F_j$ , a contradiction.

The case when  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{K}^{<\infty}$  and  $U$  contains closed subsets of arbitrary large finite dimension  $D_\mathcal{K}$  can be handled in a similar way. Indeed, let  $D_\mathcal{K}(F_j) = m$  and observe that, by the  $\sigma$ -compactness of  $U$  and by the countable sum theorem for dimension  $D_\mathcal{K}$ , we can assume that these closed subsets are compact and of arbitrary small diameters. By Theorem 1 they contain arbitrary small compact Mazurkiewicz manifolds with respect to corresponding finite dimensions  $D_\mathcal{K}$ . Thus there are arbitrary small compact Cantor manifolds with respect to  $\mathcal{D}_\mathcal{K}^{k-2}$  in  $U$  for some  $k > m + 2$ . By the homogeneity, there is such a Cantor manifold  $M \subset U$  containing  $a$ . Now, using the Effros' Theorem 2, we get a contradiction as in the previous paragraph.  $\square$

**Remark 5.** If  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{K}^{<\infty}$ , then the hypothesis  $U \notin \mathcal{C}$  in Theorem 4 can be equivalently replaced by  $D_\mathcal{K}(U) = \infty$ . More precisely, we have the following proposition (cf. [4, Proposition 4.3]).

*If  $X$  is a homogeneous locally compact metric space and  $U$  is a second countable open subset of  $X$ , then  $D_\mathcal{K}(U) = \infty$  if and only if  $U \notin \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{K}^{<\infty}$ .*

Indeed, suppose  $D_\mathcal{K}(U) = \infty$  but  $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty F_i$ , where each  $F_i$  is a closed subset of  $U$  of finite dimension  $D_\mathcal{K}$ . Since each closed subset of  $U$  can be represented as a countable union of compact subsets, we can assume that each  $F_i$  is compact. Then the Baire theorem and the homogeneity easily imply that  $U$  is contained in a union of countably

many homeomorphic copies of some  $F_{i_0}$ . So, as an  $F_\sigma$  subset of the union, its dimension  $D_K(U)$  is finite, a contradiction. The converse implication is obvious.

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Dobrowolski and L. Rubin, *The hyperspaces of infinite-dimensional compacta for covering and cohomological dimension are homeomorphic*, Pacif. J. Math. **164** (1994), 15–39.
- [2] R. Engelking, *Theory of dimensions: Finite and Infinite*, Heldermann Verlag, Lemgo, 1995.
- [3] N. Hadžiivanov and V. T. Todorov, *Weakly infinite-dimensional sets do not cut the products of a countable number of continua. (Russian)*, C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci. **34** (1981), 469–471.
- [4] A. Karashev, P. Krupski, V. Todorov and V. Valov, *Generalized Cantor manifolds and homogeneity*, preprint.
- [5] P. Krupski, *Homogeneity and Cantor manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **109** (1990), 1135–1142.
- [6] P. Krupski, *Recent results on homogeneous curves and ANR's*, Topology Proc. **16** (1991), 109–118.
- [7] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, vol. II, Academic Press, New York; PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1968.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW, PL. GRUNWALDZKI  
2/4, 50-384 WROCLAW, POLAND  
*E-mail address:* Pawel.Krupski@math.uni.wroc.pl

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS, NIPISSING UNIVERSITY, 100 COLLEGE DRIVE, P.O. BOX 5002, NORTH BAY, ON, P1B 8L7, CANADA  
*E-mail address:* veskov@nipissingu.ca